

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,977	YOUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) James M. Hewitt. (3) _____.

(2) Mark Gleason. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

11, 32, 33 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 11 and 32 in order to better distinguish the claims over the prior art, and to amend claims 33 and 35 in order to obviate certain informalities. These amendments are reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment. Applicant's representative also agreed to electronically file the certificate required by 37 CFR 3.73(b) in response to the "NOTICE REGARDING POWER OF ATTORNEY" mailed 3/31/06.